STREAM Community Engagement Logic Model

**Activities**
- Internal CAB mtgs
- CAB mtgs with study team
- Local stakeholder meetings and networking
- Community outreach
- CAB-patient visits and CAB-patient group meetings (positive speaking events)
- Media campaigns/distribution of educational and informational materials
- Train CABs and stakeholders (CE, TB, research, advocacy, GPP)
- Cross-site experience sharing
- Document/publish results of community engagement work
- CAB participation in advocacy/policy change mtgs
- Activities targeting sustainability
- Seek new funding agreements

**Short-term outcomes** (outcomes to be achieved before the end of STREAM funding)
- CAB is established and functioning
- CAB role is clearly defined/acted by key stakeholders
- There is meaningful interaction, communication, information sharing, and transparency among all stakeholders
- CABs contribute to improved adherence of study participants
- CAB members, researchers & policymakers are knowledgeable about CE, TB, research, advocacy and GPP
- Community members and those affected by TB are knowledgeable about TB and research.
- CABs have experience with advocacy
- CABs are motivated
- CABs form relationships necessary to participate in research beyond STREAM and have the resources to do so

**Long-term outcomes** (outcomes to be achieved likely after the end of STREAM funding)
- CABs are institutionalized/integrated into the research cycle
- Partnerships among all stakeholders are broad and deep
- Strong relationship of trust exists between the community and researchers
- Research is conducted in accordance Good Participatory Practices
- Research is accountable to the community and stakeholders (research addresses the needs of the community)
- Some experienced CAB members remain involved in the CAB in the longer-term
- CABs are sustainable

**Impact**
- Ownership of clinical research by all stakeholders

**New intermediate outcome:** Researchers believe CE is valuable