## Ohio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Funds</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1.01 billion¹</td>
<td>55% to the OneOhio Recovery Foundation, 30% to local governments, 15% to the state</td>
<td>Allocation agreement between the state and local governments (&lt;a href=&quot;https://www.opioidsettlementtracker.com/memorandumofunderstanding#OneOhioMemorandumOfUnderstanding&quot;&gt;OneOhio Memorandum of Understanding&lt;/a&gt;)²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key Takeaways

**Foundation control.** Ohio, unlike most states,³ created a private, non-profit foundation — the <a href="https://www.opioidsettlementtracker.com/ohio">OneOhio Recovery Foundation</a> — to house the majority (55%) of its settlement funds.⁴ The Foundation’s Board of Directors will decide how to distribute these funds through statewide programs or to Ohio’s 19 predetermined regions (see in Exhibits C and D).

**Local and state share.** Local governments will receive their 30% share directly,⁵ and the remaining 15% state share is overseen by the Ohio Attorney General’s office.⁶

**Lack of transparency.** The <a href="https://www.opioidsettlementtracker.com/ohio">OneOhio Recovery Foundation</a> was sued by Harm Reduction Ohio for allegedly violating the requirement that it operate in a transparent manner akin to a public entity.⁷ The Supreme Court of Ohio ruled in Harm Reduction Ohio’s favor in May 2023 regarding a separate suit similarly focused on the Foundation’s lack of transparency.

### Background

The <a href="https://www.opioidsettlementtracker.com/memorandumofunderstanding#OneOhioMemorandumOfUnderstanding">OneOhio Memorandum of Understanding</a> (MOU) establishes the state’s opioid settlement allocations listed above. All opioid settlement funds, regardless of allocation, must be spent on “Approved Purposes”⁸ such as expanding access to medications for opioid use disorder, increasing the availability and distribution of naloxone, regional treatment hubs, and stigma reduction training.⁹

---

*This resource is current as of 4/6/2023. For the most up-to-date information, please visit [https://www.opioidsettlementtracker.com/settlementspending](https://www.opioidsettlementtracker.com/settlementspending).*
Decision-Making Process

55% ONEOHIO RECOVERY FOUNDATION SHARE
The OneOhio Recovery Foundation (OORF) is overseen by a 29-member Board and a Board-appointed Expert Panel. The Board must establish procedures for disbursing its 55% share across statewide programs, regional shares, and grants, and the Expert Panel is tasked to assist the Board with its decisionmaking and advise Ohio’s 19 predetermined regions on spending settlement funds. (See Exhibit C for a regional map.) The OORF Board includes representatives of the state (five selected by the Governor, one by the Attorney General), four members of the state legislature, and 19 members selected by the regions. The Expert Panel provides spending recommendations to these 19 regions and is made up of local and state representatives appointed by the OORF Board, Governor, and Attorney General. Though Ohio’s Expert Panel will use experts in addiction, pain management, public health, and “other opioid related fields” to inform its recommendations to the state’s 19 regions, it is not required that panel members possess those expertises themselves. Current Board and Expert Panel members are listed on the OORF’s website.

OORF BOARD
The OORF Board, assisted by its investment advisors and Expert Panel, determines what percentage of the OORF’s 55% of funds will be spent in Ohio’s 19 regions as Regional Shares and distributed according to the Regional Share Calculations. Regional proposals for project funding are submitted to the OORF Board to ensure that projects fall within Approved Purposes, but the regions are otherwise able to design their own governance structures.
**Decision-making Process (Continued)**

30% LOCAL GOVERNMENT SHARE
Local governments will receive their “LG Share” of funds directly and independently decide how best to allocate the funds. Local governments may spend these funds to reimburse past expenditures so long as the expenditures would qualify as an approved use and the local government follows specified procedures. Localities will ultimately receive funds from the “LG Share” described here and from the OneOhio Recovery Foundation via Regional Disbursements highlighted above.

15% STATE SHARE
The state share is allocated to the Attorney General’s Office and must be used for Approved Purposes.

### EXAMPLE OF REGIONAL GOVERNANCE STRUCTURES

Region 2’s board includes county officials, city health commissioners, county drug court and sheriff representatives, people with lived experience, and a faith leader.

Region 7’s board will meet monthly and anticipates a process in which it reviews local organizations’ applications for funding and recommends recipients to the OORF’s Board.

### Tracking Funds and Accountability

- The OneOhio MOU and the OneOhio Recovery Foundation’s Code of Regulations (COR) contain no explicit promises to publicly report opioid settlement expenditures. This is despite the commitments to transparency outlined in the MOU and OneOhio Recovery Foundation’s Code of Regulations.

- The OneOhio Recovery Foundation was sued by a grassroots organization, Harm Reduction Ohio, for allegedly violating open meeting and public records laws. An early stage of the open meetings litigation was decided in Harm Reduction Ohio’s favor. In May 2023, the Supreme Court of Ohio ruled in a separate lawsuit by Harm Reduction Ohio against the Foundation that the latter must comply with the state’s public records laws.
Engaging in the Process

- **Sign up for email updates** from the OneOhio Recovery Foundation and keep an eye out for news about the OORF’s competitive grants process, which it has promised to make open to non-profit organizations.

- Attend and share what you learn from **OORF Board meetings**, which are held monthly and open to the public. Unlike the “Agenda” or “Meeting Recap” resources created for each OORF Board meeting, the “**Board Packets**” contain specific descriptions of Board member discussions. Reach out to the OORF and connect with your region [here](#).

- Encourage regions to incorporate the perspectives of people with lived experience and reference the OORF’s **Code of Regulations** as explicit support to do so.28

- Check out Community Education Group’s **Appalachia Opioid Remediation (AOR) Local Opioid Settlement Spending Database** and sign up for their AOR email alerts [here](#). CEG’s AOR initiative provides opioid settlement spending updates from the 13 states of Appalachia.29

Additional Resources

**ONEOHIO RECOVERY FOUNDATION**  
**Frequently Asked Questions**

**OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE**  
**Easing the Opioid Addiction Crisis**

**COMMUNITY EDUCATION GROUP**  
**Appalachia Opioid Remediation (AOR) Local Opioid Settlement Spending Database** and email list

**OTHER**  
**Harm Reduction Ohio**
Ohio

GUIDE FOR COMMUNITY ADVOCATES ON THE OPIOID SETTLEMENT

Vital Strategies, in collaboration with OpioidSettlementTracker.com
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