Missouri

Total Funds

$458 million

Allocation

60% to the state and 40% to litigating subdivisions

Mechanism

Allocation agreement between the state and local governments (Memorandum of Understanding Between the State of Missouri and Its Political Subdivisions on Proceeds Relating to the Settlement of Opioid Litigation), legislation (Mo. Stat. Ann. 196.1050).

Key Takeaways

60–40 state/local allocation of distributor and Johnson & Johnson settlements. The Memorandum of Understanding Between the State of Missouri and Its Political Subdivisions on Proceeds Relating to the Settlement of Opioid Litigation (MOU) allocates 60% of Missouri’s opioid settlements to the state’s Opioid Addiction Treatment and Recovery Fund and 40% to litigating subdivisions.

A different allocation for bankruptcy settlements. Purdue and Mallinckrodt funds, which involve bankruptcy settlements separate from the national settlement agreements, are allocated differently: 85% to the state and 15% to litigating subdivisions. Like the national settlement agreement funds, however, they must be used for approved uses.

Public reporting. All recipients of settlement funds must annually report expenditures to the legislature, and the state must then publish these expenditure reports “through its relevant agencies.” Per state law, these agencies are: the departments of mental health, health and senior services, social services, public safety, corrections, and the judiciary.

Background

The Memorandum of Understanding Between the State of Missouri and Its Political Subdivisions on Proceeds Relating to the Settlement of Opioid Litigation (MOU) allocates 60% of funds from the distributor and Johnson & Johnson settlements to the state and 40% of those funds to litigating subdivisions. A state law created the Opioid Addiction Treatment and Recovery Fund to house the state’s share of settlement funds. Both the state and local governments must spend their settlement funds on “Approved Uses” outlined in Exhibit E of the national settlement agreements, such as those related to the prevention and treatment of opioid use disorder.

This resource is current as of 4/11/2023. For the most up-to-date information, please visit https://www.opioidsettlementtracker.com/settlementspending.
Decision-Making Process

**60% STATE SHARE**
The state’s share of funds is held in the Opioid Addiction Treatment and Recovery Fund and must be spent exclusively on approved uses. The state treasurer serves as custodian of and ultimately approves disbursements from the fund. The money will be used by the departments of mental health, health and senior services, social services, public safety, corrections, as well as the judiciary on expenditures related to opioid addiction treatment and prevention.

Missouri’s non-litigating subdivisions will receive funds from the state share, not from the local share. These funds will be distributed to them directly. Estimated percentage allocations for non-litigating subdivisions may be derived from the national settlement agreements’ Exhibit G.

**40% LITIGATING SUBDIVISION SHARE**
The Litigating Subdivisions’ 40% share of funds is distributed to political subdivisions that initiated opioid-related litigation on or before January 1, 2021. As with Opioid Addiction Treatment and Recovery Fund monies, this share must be spent only on approved uses. A locality may choose to give its share of settlement funds to the state, in which case those funds will be deposited into the state Opioid Addiction Treatment and Recovery Fund. Localities will likely use their normal budgeting and decision-making processes to allocate and spend settlement funds. Estimated percentage allocations per subdivision may be derived from the Distributor and Janssen Settlement Agreements’ Exhibit G.
Decision-Making Process (Continued)

Tracking Funds and Accountability

- All recipients of settlement funds must annually report expenditures to the legislature, and the state must then publish these expenditure reports "through its relevant agencies." These agencies are: the departments of mental health, health and senior services, social services, public safety, corrections, and the judiciary.
- The state and its subdivisions may adjudicate any MOU-related disputes in St. Louis City Circuit Court. These rights are limited to the state and participating local governments themselves; non-profit organizations and community advocates do not have the power to enforce the terms of the MOU.

ENGAGING IN THE PROCESS

- Monitor the websites of the Departments of mental health, health and senior services, social services, public safety, corrections, and the judiciary, where reports about their use of state opioid funds must be posted.
- Encourage opportunities for community input on the use of funds at the state and local levels. For example, the state and/or its localities could create advisory bodies that include directly impacted people or hold townhalls to receive public feedback.

LOCAL PLANNING EXAMPLES

St. Louis County received its first installment from the national settlement agreements in December 2022. The county deposited the $4.8 million it received into the Opioid Remediation Fund, established to “support the treatment of substance use or mental health conditions.” The St. Louis County Department of Public Health released a Substance Use Action Plan in May 2022, and the County Executive indicated that opioid settlement funds would “amplify” the strategies the plan promotes.

The City of St. Louis appropriated roughly $1.3 million of opioid settlement funds to its Department of Health via Ordinance 71634, effective February 2023. Launched in October 2022, the city’s Behavioral Health Bureau is responsible for developing a comprehensive behavioral health strategy for the city. It launched the Opioid and Substance Use Task Force — which includes harm reduction community leaders and members of faith-based organizations — in January 2023.
**Missouri**

**MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL’S OFFICE**
*Fighting Addiction, Saving Lives*

**MISSOURI FOUNDATION FOR HEALTH**
*Reducing Opioid Deaths in Missouri: Harm Reduction Strategies*

**OTHER**
*Time2ActMissouri.com*
*NoMODeaths.org*
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22. According to County Executive Dr. Sam Page, “This plan came with input from nearly 40 partners, including the city Health Department. As we deepen the partnerships and work with the city and other counties in the bi-state region, we do so with the commitment to increased treatment and prevention and saving more lives from overdoses. In St. Louis County, we have an opportunity to amplify these efforts by dedicating $48 million in opioid settlement funds to treatment and prevention programs.” https://www.stlamerican.com/your_health_matters/health_news/stl-county-receives-4-8-million-opioid-settlement-payment/article_43d9a642-8152-11ed-89f2-0b274918ea8d.html


24. Each recipient of Settlement Funds shall provide to the Gen Assembly a report detailing for the preceding year (1) the amount of Settlement Funds received; (2) a detailed reporting of how any Settlement Funds were spent, including, but not limited to, an itemization of the person or entity to whom the funds were paid and the program, service, or product for which the funds were used; and (3) whether or not the expenditure fits within an Approved Use and identify such Approved Use. The State, through its relevant agencies, shall publish on its website the reports described above.” MOU F.42. “‘Settlement Funds’ refers collectively to Opioid Settlement Funds and Bankruptcy Settlement Funds.” MOU B.17.
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