$303 million

75% to participating local governments and 25% to the state

Allocation agreement between the state and local governments (Amended Minnesota Opioids State-Subdivision Memorandum of Agreement), addendum to MOA (Reporting and Compliance Addendum), legislation (Sec 256.042, Sec. 256.043)

Amended MOA. Minnesota updated its MOA in 2023 so that it may apply to future settlements.

Future opioid remediation. Minnesota requires that state and local opioid settlement funds be spent on forward-looking opioid remediation interventions, meaning the funds may not be used as reimbursement for past expenditures.

100% public reporting. The Minnesota Opioid Epidemic Response Advisory Council’s written reports, which contain information about the Opiate Epidemic Response Fund’s grantees, are available on the Council’s website. Participating local governments must also report their expenditures to the Minnesota Department of Human Services (DHS), and DHS must make this information available to the public.

Background

The Amended Minnesota Opioids State-Subdivision Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) establishes the 75% to 25% allocation between participating local governments and the state, and an addendum to the amended MOA establishes reporting and compliance requirements. State laws establish the Opiate Epidemic Response Advisory Council (OERAC), the Opiate Epidemic Response Fund (Fund), and a sub-account ("settlement account") within the Fund to hold the state’s opioid settlement funds. All funds, regardless of allocation, must be spent on Approved Uses, which are defined as the forward-looking strategies, programs, and services outlined in Exhibit A of the MOA. (Minnesota’s Exhibit A is identical to the national settlement agreement’s Approved Uses list and includes prevention, harm reduction, treatment, and recovery services.) In addition, the state law establishing the OERAC requires it to focus on four priorities: prevention and education, training on the treatment of opioid use disorder, expanding and enhancing the continuum of care for opioid use disorder, and protecting the interests of people
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who use prescription pain medications. All funds must be spent on prospective remediation activities, which prohibits the use of funds to reimburse past expenditures.

**Decision-Making Process**

### 75% LOCALITIES’ SHARE

The localities’ 75% share is distributed directly to all participating counties, as well as to participating cities that (1) have populations of 30,000 or more; (2) funded or managed an established health department or similar agency; or (3) initiated litigation against certain pharmaceutical companies on or before December 3, 2021. Exhibit B of the MOA describes the percentage of funds going to each participating local government. Participating local governments must establish a special revenue fund designated for the receipt and expenditure of their opioid settlement funds. If a participating local government has a public health department, the public health department operates as the lead agency and “Chief Strategist” for identifying and responding to local issues and advising on the disbursement of settlement funds. These local public health departments are responsible for convening community stakeholders and advising cities in their development of community health assessments, and are encouraged to work with law enforcement “where appropriate.”
To spend their settlement funds, elected city councils and county commissioners must pass a budget or separate resolutions that authorize the use of funds for specific Exhibit A expenditures. Cities may choose to direct their shares to the county or form regions to pool their funds. Participating local governments may use settlement monies to award grants to non-profit organizations and form local partnerships to support community-based work with local stakeholders.

Every county that receives settlement funds must annually consult its municipalities on the use of funds and host a public meeting to collect input on proposed uses and facilitate collaboration. In addition, participating local governments within counties are required to consult with one another to coordinate spending, and the state and participating local governments generally are required to share expertise and resources, coordinate with community stakeholders, and share information about successful programs. Costs associated with administering the funds must be capped at actual costs or 10%, whichever is lower.

25% — OPIATE EPIDEMIC RESPONSE ACCOUNT

The state’s 25% share of settlement funds will be deposited in the “settlement account” established within the Opiate Epidemic Response Fund. The Opiate Epidemic Response Advisory Council (OERAC) is responsible for creating and implementing a statewide opioid response effort and developing recommendations for the settlement account’s allocation framework, but appropriations of settlement account monies must be made in a specific order:

1. To make up the balance in the separate registration and license fee account, also housed in the Opiate Epidemic Response Fund;
2. To state agencies to administer and monitor the funds;
3. To Tribal agency projects providing child protection services to families impacted by substance use disorder;
4. For grantmaking according to the OERAC’s recommendations.

State law requires the OERAC to make funding recommendations to the Commissioner of Human Services for spending in four specific focus areas: prevention and education; training on the treatment of opioid use disorder; expanding the continuum of care for opioid use disorder; and protecting the interests of people who use prescription pain medications. Settlement-funded projects that include promising practices may be selected for special evaluations. The Commissioner of Human Services ultimately submits a report containing OERAC’s recommendations to the leadership of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over health and human services policy and finance. OERAC’s grant recommendations control “unless otherwise appropriated by the legislature.”

LOCAL PLANNING EXAMPLE

Wright County established an Advisory Council to advise the Board of Commissioners on the best way to spend funds. The Council sought public input to determine its funding priorities, and Wright County Public Health (i.e., the county’s “Chief Strategist”) created a guide for local organizations in sectors adjacent to overdose to help them understand how funds may be used to support their grant application efforts.
Decision-Making Process

The 19-member OERAC must include legislators; providers; an alternative pain management therapy professional; a non-profit organization (with the first appointment going to the Steve Rummler Hope Network); a person in recovery; representatives of Tribal nations; and someone with chronic pain, intractable pain, or a rare disease or condition; among others.47 OERAC must meet specific geographic, racial, and gender diversity standards, and at least one member must represent “a community of color disproportionately affected by the opioid epidemic.”48 Current OERAC members, voting and non-voting, can be found on OERAC’s website.

Tracking Funds and Accountability

- The Minnesota Opioid Epidemic Response Advisory Council must submit a written report containing information about the Opiate Epidemic Response Fund’s grantees.49 These reports are available on OERAC’s website.50
- Participating local governments must report their expenditures to the Minnesota Department of Human Services (DHS), and DHS must publish those expenditures in a publicly accessible format.51
- The state maintains oversight of participating local governments’ use of funds and compliance with reporting requirements,52 but Minnesota’s localities can hold the state responsible for misspent settlement funds as well.53 However, the power to enforce the terms of Minnesota’s state-local agreement belongs only to the state and local governments themselves, not to non-profit organizations or community advocates.
Engaging in the Process

- State and participating local governments must engage with partners and community stakeholders to share information about successful strategies. Hold them to this provision by reaching out and providing your organization’s feedback and suggestions for spending settlement funds.

- Attend an OERAC meeting. All meetings are open to the public and include a dedicated public comment period. Check OERAC’s website for meeting dates, virtual log-in links, and access to past meeting minutes and agendas.

- Watch for funding opportunities from OERAC by subscribing to the Department of Human Services’ Grants and RFPs list. Review the list of organizations currently receiving OERAC funding to understand how funds are being deployed in your area and across the state. (Previous grants can be reviewed in the Opioid Epidemic Response Advisory Council legislative report.)

- Participating local governments may allocate funds to local partners for spending on approved uses in the community. Watch for funding opportunities from them as well!

Additional Resources

**MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL**

*Fighting the Opioid Epidemic in Minnesota*

*Minnesota Opioid Settlement Executive Summary*

*Legislative Response to the Crisis and the Opioid Epidemic Response Advisory Council*

**MINNESOTA MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET**

*The Use of Evidence in OERAC Grants*

**MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH**

*Drug Overdose Dashboard*

*Differences in Rates of Drug Overdose Deaths by Race*

**STATE OF MINNESOTA**

*2018 Opioid Action Plan*

**OTHER**

*Opiate Epidemic Response Advisory Council*
GUIDE FOR COMMUNITY ADVOCATES ON THE OPIOID SETTLEMENT

Minnesota
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